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Introduction

- What is redistricting?
  - Apportionment: process of allocating seats in a legislature
  - Districting: process of drawing the lines of each district

- Districts - Geographical territories from which officials are elected
Introduction

- Who is redistricted?
  - By the state legislature:
    - House and Senate (R.S. 24:35.5 and 35.1)
    - Congress (R.S. 18:1276)
    - Public Service Commission (R.S. 45:1161.4)
    - State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (R.S. 17:2.2)
    - Courts (R.S. 13:101, 312, and 477)
  - Enacted by the state legislature as laws
Introduction

- Why redistrict?
  - Apportionment of Congress: change in the number of districts
  - Specific Legal Requirements Involving Redistricting
    - Article III, Section 6 of the Constitution of Louisiana includes duties and deadlines for legislative redistricting
    - Various statutes involving local districting bodies contain redistricting duties and deadlines
  - General Legal Requirements
    - Equal Protection
    - Voting Rights Act of 1965
Legal Issues: State Law

- Louisiana Legislature (La. Constitutional Provisions)
  - Article III, §1
    - Requires single member districts
  - Article III, §3
    - Provides a maximum number of members: 39 senators and 105 representatives
  - Article III, §6
    - Legislature must be redistricted by Dec. 31, 2011 or any elector can petition the Supreme Court to do it
    - Must use census population data
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Equal Population
  - One Person, One Vote

- Population Equality—how is it measured?
  - Ideal Population—total state population divided by the no. of districts
  - Deviation—amount by which a single district's population differs from the ideal
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Equal Population
  - Standards—Different standards for congress and state legislative districts
    - Based on different legal provisions
    - Congress: as nearly equal in population as practicable (Wesberry v. Sanders, 376 U.S. 1 (1964))
      - Based on Article I, Section 2 and 14th Amendment
        - “Representatives ... shall be apportioned among the ... states ... according to their respective numbers”
      - Deviation and overall range: as close to zero as possible
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- **Equal Population**
  - Standards—Different standards for congress and state legislative districts
    - **State Legislatures:** "substantial equality of population among the various districts" (*Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 579 (1964))
      - Based on the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment
      - **10-Percent Standard:** Generally, a legislative plan with an overall range of less than 10% is not enough to make a prima facie case of invidious discrimination under the 14th Amendment (*Brown v. Thompson*, 462 U.S. 835 (1983))
Where do we get the word "Gerrymandering?"

- From Elbridge Gerry
  - Signer of the American Declaration of Independence
  - Fifth vice president of the United States (1813–14)

- After four attempts to win election as governor of Massachusetts, Gerry succeeded in 1810 and was reelected in 1811.

- His administration was notable for its use of what became known as gerrymandering.

- The division of electoral districts for partisan political advantage.
- Gerrymandering
- Political Gerrymandering
- Racial Gerrymandering
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Racial Gerrymandering
  - What is "racial gerrymandering"?
    - The "deliberate and arbitrary distortion of district boundaries . . . for [racial] purposes" ((Shaw v. Reno, 509 U.S. 630, 640 (1993))
  - Initially, used to circumvent application of the 15th Amendment
  - More recently, challenges made to districts drawn following the 1990 Census in an effort to maximize the number of minority districts
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Racial Gerrymandering
  - Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment
  - Courts attempt to balance constitutional interests:
    - no state shall purposefully discriminate against a person on the basis of race and
    - members of a minority group shall be free from discrimination in the electoral process
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Racial Gerrymandering
  - What was the rationale in drawing district lines?
    - Race-conscious redistricting is not *per se* unconstitutional
      - "[T]he legislature is always aware of race when it draws district lines, just as it is aware of age, economic status, religious and political persuasion, and a variety of other demographic factors." ([Shaw v. Reno (Shaw I)], 509 U.S. at 646)
    - Consideration of race-neutral districting principles
      - Compactness, contiguity, communities of interest, respect for political subdivisions, protection of core districts
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- **Racial Gerrymandering**
  - If race is found to be the “predominant overriding factor,” strict scrutiny will apply
    - Where the legislature subordinates traditional race-neutral districting principles to racial considerations
  - What must a state prove for the plan to survive strict scrutiny?
    - A law narrowly tailored to serve a compelling state interest
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Discrimination Against Minorities
  - The Voting Rights Act of 1965
    - Section 5
      - Prohibits the enforcement in a covered jurisdiction of any voting qualification or prerequisite to voting, or standard, practice, or procedure with respect to voting different from that in force or effect on the date used to determine coverage, until either:
        - A declaratory judgment is obtained from the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia that such qualification, prerequisite, standard, practice, or procedure does not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group, or
        - It has been submitted to the Attorney General and the Attorney General has interposed no objection within a 60-day period following submission.
Discrimination Against Minorities

The Voting Rights Act of 1965

Section 5

- Louisiana is a covered jurisdiction, as are all of its political subdivisions
- Jurisdiction has burden of showing that the plan neither has the purpose nor the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group
- Retrogression
- “Any discriminatory purpose”
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Discrimination Against Minorities
  - The Voting Rights Act of 1965
    - Section 2
      - Prohibits any state or political subdivision from imposing a voting qualification, standard, practice, or procedure that results in the denial or abridgment of any U.S. citizen’s right to vote on account of race, color, or status as a member of a language minority group.
Legal Issues: Federal Law

- Discrimination Against Minorities
  - The Voting Rights Act of 1965
    - Section 2
        - Size and geographical compactness
        - Political cohesion
        - Majority votes as a bloc to defeat minority’s preferred candidate
      - Totality of the circumstances
BESO Ideal District Population

2000 Ideal: 558,622

2010 Ideal: 566,671
# Deviations of BESE Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Garvey</td>
<td>595,463</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>28,792</td>
<td>5.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Givens</td>
<td>395,097</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>-171,574</td>
<td>-30.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>Buquet</td>
<td>542,929</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>-23,742</td>
<td>-4.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>559,562</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>-7,109</td>
<td>-1.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td>Guice</td>
<td>555,802</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>-10,869</td>
<td>-1.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 6</td>
<td>Roemer</td>
<td>708,651</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>141,980</td>
<td>25.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>Bayard</td>
<td>632,891</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>66,220</td>
<td>11.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 8</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>542,977</td>
<td>566,671</td>
<td>-23,694</td>
<td>-4.18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Service Commission
Ideal District Population

2000 Ideal: 893,795

2010 Ideal: 906,674
## Deviations of PSC Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Skrmetta</td>
<td>960,918</td>
<td>906,674</td>
<td>54,244</td>
<td>5.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>984,783</td>
<td>906,674</td>
<td>78,109</td>
<td>8.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>Boissiere</td>
<td>774,622</td>
<td>906,674</td>
<td>-132,052</td>
<td>-14.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>Holloway</td>
<td>872,823</td>
<td>906,674</td>
<td>-33,851</td>
<td>-3.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>940,226</td>
<td>906,674</td>
<td>33,552</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supreme Court
Ideal District Population

1990 Ideal: 602,853

2000 Ideal: 638,425*

2010 Ideal: 647,624

(*Note: Supreme Court Districts were not redrawn following the 2000 Census)

2/28/2011
## Deviations of Baseline
### Supreme Court Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Justice</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Guidry</td>
<td>696,812</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>49,188</td>
<td>7.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Victory</td>
<td>660,437</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>12,813</td>
<td>1.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>Knoll</td>
<td>698,008</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>50,384</td>
<td>7.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>602,663</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>-44,961</td>
<td>-6.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td>Kimball</td>
<td>791,281</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>143,657</td>
<td>22.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 6</td>
<td>Weimer</td>
<td>645,962</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>-1,662</td>
<td>-0.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>438,209</td>
<td>647,624</td>
<td>-209,415</td>
<td>-32.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Louisiana Senate Baseline Plan
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Ideal Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>114,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>116,240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Deviations of Area Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Thompson</td>
<td>101,227</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>-15,013</td>
<td>-12.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Guillory</td>
<td>108,343</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>-7,897</td>
<td>-6.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>McPherson</td>
<td>111,504</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>-4,736</td>
<td>-4.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Riser</td>
<td>111,614</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>-4,626</td>
<td>-3.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>116,727</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>117,327</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>LaFleur</td>
<td>118,093</td>
<td>116,240</td>
<td>1,853</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Louisiana Senate Baseline Plan: Deviation from the Ideal
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Ideal Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>42,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>43,174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Deviations of Area House Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>41,676</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-1,498</td>
<td>-3.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Anders</td>
<td>38,614</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-4,560</td>
<td>-10.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chandler</td>
<td>46,175</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>6.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Nowlin</td>
<td>41,224</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-1,950</td>
<td>-4.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>45,758</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>2,584</td>
<td>5.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>46,800</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>3,626</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Deviations of Area House Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
<th>Ideal Pop</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Dixon</td>
<td>41,317</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-1,857</td>
<td>-4.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Hazel</td>
<td>48,069</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>4,895</td>
<td>11.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>42,073</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-1,101</td>
<td>-2.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Armes</td>
<td>43,969</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Hill</td>
<td>44,140</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>LeBas</td>
<td>42,595</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>-579</td>
<td>-1.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Guillory</td>
<td>44,746</td>
<td>43,174</td>
<td>1,572</td>
<td>3.64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
House Districts: Deviation from the Ideal
### Population changes
**The Nation v. Louisiana**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970 to 1980</td>
<td>11.48%</td>
<td>15.51%</td>
<td>4.02%</td>
<td>20.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980 to 1990</td>
<td>9.78%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>-9.45%</td>
<td>13.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 to 2000</td>
<td>13.15%</td>
<td>5.90%</td>
<td>-7.25%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 to 2010</td>
<td>9.71%</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
<td>-8.27%</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Congress
### Ideal District Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Ideal Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>638,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>755,562</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Current Congressional District Statistics
### Six District Ideal: 755,562

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Actual Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Scalise</td>
<td>686,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>493,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>Landry</td>
<td>637,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>Fleming</td>
<td>667,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td>Alexander</td>
<td>644,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 6</td>
<td>Cassidy</td>
<td>727,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>Boustany</td>
<td>676,785</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To get more information regarding the Louisiana Senate redistricting process go to:

http://senate.legis.state.la.us/redist2011/

To get more information regarding the Louisiana House of Representatives redistricting process go to:

http://house.louisiana.gov/H_Redistricting2011

Like “Louisiana House of Representatives Redistricting” on Facebook

Follow us on twitter @hredist2011
Key Contacts for the House of Representatives

House & Governmental Affairs Committee

Shawn O'Brien  Secretary  225-342-2403

- Patricia Lowrey – Dufour  Legislative Analyst  225-342-2396
- Mark Mahaffey  Attorney  225-342-2598
- Alfred Speer  Clerk of the House  225-342-7259
- Stephanie Little  Attorney  225-342-2394
- Dr. William Blair  Demographer  225-342-2591
Key Contacts for the Senate

- **Glenn Koepp**  Secretary of the Senate  
  225-342-5997
- **Yolanda Dixon**  First Assistant Secretary of the Senate  
  225-342-6184
- **Sue Morain**  Executive Assistant to the Secretary  
  225-342-2374
- **Committee on Senate and Governmental Affairs**  
  Alden Clement, Attorney  225-342-0640  
  Tim Prather, Senate Counsel  225-342-8299  
  Deborah Leblanc, Secretary  225-342-9845
- **Dr. William Blair**  Demographer  
  225-342-2591
Public Comment