



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

The Coronavirus Relief Fund (CARES Act, Title V): Background and State and Local Allocations

April 1, 2020

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R46298



R46298

April 1, 2020

Grant A. Driessen
Analyst in Public Finance

The Coronavirus Relief Fund (CARES Act, Title V): Background and State and Local Allocations

The sudden decline in economic output following the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has significantly altered the fiscal outlook for state and local governments. A sizable share of economic output derives from state and local government activity. These governments are generally required to balance their operating budgets every one or two years. Early evidence suggests that the COVID-19 economic shock will have a notable impact on state and local budgets.

The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act; P.L. 116-136), signed into law on March 27, 2020, created the Coronavirus Relief Fund, which provides \$150 billion in direct assistance for domestic governments. The CARES Act stipulates that the \$150 billion provided to the Coronavirus Relief Fund is allocated to governments in states, territories, and tribal areas as follows: (1) \$139 billion is allocated to state governments in the 50 states, with allocations based on their populations and with no state receiving less than \$1.25 billion; (2) \$8 billion is set aside for governments in tribal areas; and (3) \$3 billion is allotted to governments in territories, including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

Coronavirus Relief Fund assistance is provided to state governments. Local governments serving a population of at least 500,000, as measured in the most recent census data, may elect to receive assistance directly from Treasury. Such direct local assistance allocations reduce the allocation that is made to the state government (keeping the state allocation constant), and are equal to the product of (1) the state or territory allocation amount, (2) the share of the state or territory population served by the local government, and (3) 45%.

Contents

Background	1
Eligible Purposes.....	2
Allocations Across States, Territories, and Tribal Areas	2
Allocations to Governments Within States and Territories	5

Tables

Table 1. Estimated Fund Allocations by State	3
Table 2. Estimated Fund Allocations by Territory	5
Table 3. Estimates of Counties with Direct Assistance Eligibility by State and Territory	6

Contacts

Author Information.....	7
-------------------------	---

The sudden decline in economic output following the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has significantly altered the fiscal outlook for state and local governments. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act; P.L. 116-136), signed into law on March 27, 2020, created the Coronavirus Relief Fund, which provides \$150 billion in direct assistance for state and local governments. This report briefly summarizes the background, purpose, and allocation details of the Coronavirus Relief Fund. **Table 1** provides Congressional Research Service (CRS) estimates of Coronavirus Relief Fund allocations to states, **Table 2** provides allocation amounts to territories, and **Table 3** shows CRS estimates of county-level eligibility for local government assistance by state and territory.

Background

A sizable share of economic output derives from state and local government activity. State and local governments spent \$3.7 trillion in 2017, 19% of gross domestic product (GDP), divided about equally across state governments (55% of combined state and local expenditures) and local governments (45% of combined state and local expenditures).¹ These governments are generally required to balance their operating budgets every one or two years. For more on how economic shocks affect state and local government activity, see CRS Insight IN11258, *State and Local Fiscal Conditions and Economic Shocks*.

Early evidence suggests that the COVID-19 economic shock will have a notable impact on state and local budgets. Consumption declines following nonessential business closures and social distancing efforts are likely to produce a sharp drop in sales tax revenues (35% of state and local own-source revenues in 2017). Spikes in unemployment and decreased firm profitability are expected to have a similar effect on individual and corporate income tax receipts (26% of own-source revenues).² Use of state and local spending programs is likely to increase, particularly for public welfare programs (19% of 2017 expenditures) as well as hospital and public health expenses (8% of 2017 expenditures).

The Coronavirus Relief Fund, established through Section 5001 of the CARES Act, offers a means of assistance for state and local governments. The Coronavirus Relief Fund provides a total of \$150 billion in federal fiscal support for state and local governments, with eligibility dependent upon the location, level of government, and use of potential funds. Separately, Section 4003 of the CARES Act authorized use of Federal Reserve capacity to support up to \$454 billion in debt issued by state governments, local governments, and eligible businesses. A similar fund, the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund, was created during the 2007-2009 recession by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5). The State Fiscal Stabilization Fund provided \$54 billion to state and local governments, most of which was targeted to certain types of spending for education programs.³

¹ All state and local government finance data used in this report draw from U.S. Census Bureau, “2017 Survey of State & Local Government Finances,” October 2019, available at <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/gov-finances.html>.

² There are no indications as yet of a comparable effect on the base for property taxes (32% of 2017 own-source revenues), which are predominantly collected by local governments.

³ For more information about this program, see U.S. Department of Education, “State Fiscal Stabilization Fund,” March 7, 2009, available at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/leg/recovery/factsheet/stabilization-fund.html>.

Eligible Purposes

Section 5001(d) of the CARES Act provides the eligible purposes for which Coronavirus Relief Fund payments may be used. Specifically, it allows state and local governments to make payments for programs that

- (1) are necessary expenditures incurred due to the public health emergency with respect to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19);
- (2) were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of the date of enactment [March 27, 2020] of this section for the State or government; and
- (3) were incurred during the period that begins on March 1, 2020 and ends on December 30, 2020.⁴

Per Section 5001(f) of the CARES Act, the Inspector General of the Department of the Treasury determines whether Coronavirus Relief Fund payments are used for eligible purposes. Fund payments that are deemed for ineligible purposes are treated as a debt owed by the implementing government to Treasury.

Coronavirus Relief Fund payments may not be used to *directly* account for revenue shortfalls related to the COVID-19 outbreak. Such funds, however, may *indirectly* assist with revenue shortfalls in cases where expenses paid for by the Coronavirus Relief Fund would otherwise widen the gap between government outlays and receipts. For instance, if \$3 billion in Coronavirus Relief Fund assistance is sent to a government with revenues that are \$10 billion lower than expected and \$5 billion in new COVID-19-related expenses, that assistance will reduce the fiscal gap (from \$15 billion to \$12 billion) by the same amount regardless of whether it applies to revenues or spending. Only in cases where governments have revenue shortfalls and less related spending than the program provides are governments limited by the eligible purpose restrictions. For instance, in that same example but with no new COVID-19-related expenses, the government could not use Coronavirus Relief Fund assistance despite its decrease in revenues.

Allocations Across States, Territories, and Tribal Areas

The CARES Act stipulates that the \$150 billion provided to the Coronavirus Relief Fund is allocated to governments in states, territories, and tribal areas as follows:⁵

- \$139 billion is allocated for governments in the 50 states based on their populations (as measured by the U.S. Census Bureau in 2019), with no state receiving less than \$1.25 billion.
- \$8 billion is set aside for governments in tribal areas, with each tribal area's allocation based on its share of aggregate tribal expenditures in FY2019, as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior.
- \$3 billion is allocated to the territories of the District of Columbia (DC), Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa, with each territory receiving an amount based on its share of the total

⁴ Section 5001(d) of the CARES Act, p. 603.

⁵ This allocation methodology differs from what was implemented by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 for the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund, which treated the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico uniformly when implementing allocation procedures.

population across all territories, with populations determined by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Table 1 shows CRS estimates for Coronavirus Relief Fund allocations to states. Due to the \$1.25 billion minimum allocation for states, every state with an allocation greater than the minimum amount receives a smaller allocation share (excluding amounts provided to tribal areas) than its share of the population. Most states with a minimum allocation amount, in contrast, have a larger allocation share than their population share.

Table 1. Estimated Fund Allocations by State

State	Allocation (\$ Billions)	Allocation %	Population	Population %
Alabama	1.901	1.4	4,903,185	1.5
Alaska	1.250	0.9	731,545	0.2
Arizona	2.822	2.0	7,278,717	2.2
Arkansas	1.250	0.9	3,017,804	0.9
California	15.321	11.0	39,512,223	12.1
Colorado	2.233	1.6	5,758,736	1.8
Connecticut	1.382	1.0	3,565,287	1.1
Delaware	1.250	0.9	973,764	0.3
Florida	8.328	6.0	21,477,737	6.6
Georgia	4.117	3.0	10,617,423	3.2
Hawaii	1.250	0.9	1,415,872	0.4
Idaho	1.250	0.9	1,787,065	0.5
Illinois	4.914	3.5	12,671,821	3.9
Indiana	2.610	1.9	6,732,219	2.1
Iowa	1.250	0.9	3,155,070	1.0
Kansas	1.250	0.9	2,913,314	0.9
Kentucky	1.732	1.2	4,467,673	1.4
Louisiana	1.803	1.3	4,648,794	1.4
Maine	1.250	0.9	1,344,212	0.4
Maryland	2.344	1.7	6,045,680	1.8
Massachusetts	2.673	1.9	6,892,503	2.1
Michigan	3.873	2.8	9,986,857	3.0
Minnesota	2.187	1.6	5,639,632	1.7
Mississippi	1.250	0.9	2,976,149	0.9
Missouri	2.380	1.7	6,137,428	1.9
Montana	1.250	0.9	1,068,778	0.3
Nebraska	1.250	0.9	1,934,408	0.6
Nevada	1.250	0.9	3,080,156	0.9
New Hampshire	1.250	0.9	1,359,711	0.4

State	Allocation (\$ Billions)	Allocation %	Population	Population %
New Jersey	3.444	2.5	8,882,190	2.7
New Mexico	1.250	0.9	2,096,829	0.6
New York	7.543	5.4	19,453,561	5.9
North Carolina	4.067	2.9	10,488,084	3.2
North Dakota	1.250	0.9	762,062	0.2
Ohio	4.533	3.3	11,689,100	3.6
Oklahoma	1.534	1.1	3,956,971	1.2
Oregon	1.635	1.2	4,217,737	1.3
Pennsylvania	4.964	3.6	12,801,989	3.9
Rhode Island	1.250	0.9	1,059,361	0.3
South Carolina	1.996	1.4	5,148,714	1.6
South Dakota	1.250	0.9	884,659	0.3
Tennessee	2.648	1.9	6,829,174	2.1
Texas	11.243	8.1	28,995,881	8.9
Utah	1.250	0.9	3,205,958	1.0
Vermont	1.250	0.9	623,989	0.2
Virginia	3.310	2.4	8,535,519	2.6
Washington	2.953	2.1	7,614,893	2.3
West Virginia	1.250	0.9	1,792,147	0.5
Wisconsin	2.258	1.6	5,822,434	1.8
Wyoming	1.250	0.9	578,759	0.2
Total	139.000	100.0	327,533,774	100.0

Source: Calculated by the Congressional Research Service. U.S. Census Bureau and the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act; P.L. 116-136).

Note: Allocation and population percentages do not include the \$8 billion in assistance provided to tribal governments.

Table 2 provides Coronavirus Relief Fund estimated allocations made to territories. As defined in Section 5001(c)(8) of the CARES Act, which specifies that population data draw from “the most recent year for which data are available from the Bureau of the Census,” the relevant populations for DC and Puerto Rico draw from 2019 census estimates, while populations for the other territories draw from 2010 census estimates. Territory allocations are made in direct proportion to the relevant population estimate, with no minimum amount provided. Allocation shares for all territories except Puerto Rico are smaller than the state minimum amount.

Table 2. Estimated Fund Allocations by Territory

Territory	Allocation (\$ Billions)	Population Year	Population	Allocation and Population %
American Samoa	0.039	2010	55,519	1.3
District of Columbia	0.495	2019	705,749	16.5
Guam	0.112	2010	159,538	3.7
Northern Mariana Islands	0.038	2010	53,883	1.3
Puerto Rico	2.241	2019	3,193,694	74.7
U.S. Virgin Islands	0.075	2010	106,405	2.5
Total	3.000		4,274,608	100.0

Source: Calculated by the Congressional Research Service. U.S. Census Bureau and the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act; P.L. 116-136).

Note: Allocation and population percentages do not include the \$8 billion in assistance provided to tribal governments.

Allocations to Governments Within States and Territories

Coronavirus Relief Fund assistance is generally provided to state governments. Local governments serving a population of at least 500,000, as measured in the most recent census data, may elect to receive assistance directly from Treasury. Such direct local assistance allocations reduce the allocation made to the state government (keeping the state allocation constant) and are equal to the product of

- the state or territory allocation amount;
- the percentage of the state or territory population served by the local government; and
- 45%.⁶

The CARES Act does not explicitly prevent local governments (regardless of their eligibility for direct assistance) from receiving Coronavirus Relief Fund payments from state governments, so long as the funds are used for eligible purposes. State governments transferred \$534 billion to local governments in 2017, or 28% of all local government revenues.

Table 3 provides CRS estimates of the number of counties in each state eligible for direct assistance and the total available assistance for eligible county governments. Direct assistance eligibility varies greatly across states, ranging from those with no eligible counties (20 states) to those with county governments eligible for 38% of a state's allocation (Massachusetts and California). In total, CRS calculates that county governments are eligible to receive \$29.2 billion (21% of nontribal allocations) in direct assistance.

The figures in **Table 3** assume that county governments are eligible for the full amounts provided for in Section 5001 of the CARES Act, regardless of whether underlying city governments are also eligible for direct assistance. In many cases, populations are served by more than one local government that is eligible for direct assistance from the Coronavirus Relief Fund (e.g., city governments and county governments for large cities). There are also cases, such as those where a city includes jurisdictions in multiple counties, where local governments other than county

⁶ Section 5001(c)(5) of the CARES Act.

governments may have increased eligibility or direct assistance availability relative to what is provided in **Table 3**. The Secretary of the Treasury will determine how to allocate direct assistance to qualifying local governments.

Table 3. Estimates of Counties with Direct Assistance Eligibility by State and Territory

State	Eligible Counties	Total Available Assistance (\$ Billions)	% of State Allocation
Alabama	1	0.115	6.0
Alaska	0	—	—
American Samoa	0	—	—
Arizona	2	0.965	34.2
Arkansas	0	—	—
California	16	5.796	37.8
Colorado	5	0.559	25.0
Connecticut	3	0.469	33.9
Delaware	1	0.323	25.8
District of Columbia	0	—	—
Florida	12	2.472	29.7
Georgia	4	0.614	14.9
Guam	0	—	—
Hawaii	1	0.387	31.0
Idaho	0	—	—
Illinois	5	1.395	28.4
Indiana	1	0.168	6.4
Iowa	0	—	—
Kansas	2	0.216	17.3
Kentucky	1	0.134	7.7
Louisiana	0	—	—
Maine	0	—	—
Maryland	5	0.691	29.5
Massachusetts	7	1.017	38.1
Michigan	4	0.792	20.4
Minnesota	2	0.317	14.5
Mississippi	0	—	—
Missouri	2	0.296	12.4
Montana	0	—	—
Nebraska	1	0.166	13.3
Nevada	1	0.414	33.1
New Hampshire	0	—	—

State	Eligible Counties	Total Available Assistance (\$ Billions)	% of State Allocation
New Jersey	9	1.050	30.5
New Mexico	1	0.182	14.6
New York	6	2.408	31.9
North Carolina	3	0.481	11.8
North Dakota	0	—	—
Northern Mariana Islands	0	—	—
Ohio	5	0.775	17.1
Oklahoma	2	0.253	16.5
Oregon	2	0.247	15.1
Pennsylvania	7	1.029	20.7
Puerto Rico	0	—	—
Rhode Island	1	0.339	27.1
South Carolina	1	0.091	4.6
South Dakota	0	—	—
Tennessee	2	0.285	10.7
Texas	12	3.205	28.5
U.S. Virgin Islands	0	—	—
Utah	2	0.315	25.2
Vermont	0	—	—
Virginia	1	0.200	6.0
Washington	4	0.786	26.6
West Virginia	0	—	—
Wisconsin	2	0.260	11.5
Wyoming	0	—	—
United States	136	29.214	20.6

Source: Calculated by the Congressional Research Service. U.S. Census Bureau and the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act; P.L. 116-136).

Notes: The fund does not provide for direct assistance to local governments within tribal areas. Allocation percentages in this table therefore exclude amounts set aside for tribal governments. Estimates assume that county governments are eligible for the full amounts provided for in Section 5001 of the CARES Act.

Author Information

Grant A. Driessen
Analyst in Public Finance

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Jameson Carter, Gene Falk, and Maggie McCarty for their helpful contributions to this report.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.